

1 VICTOR M. SHER (SBN 96197)
2 vic@sheredling.com
3 MATTHEW K. EDLING (SBN 250940)
4 matt@sheredling.com
5 STEPHANIE D. BIEHL (SBN 306777)
6 stephanie@sheredling.com
7 TIMOTHY R. SLOANE (SBN 292864)
8 tim@sheredling.com
9 **SHER EDLING LLP**
10 100 Montgomery St., Ste. 1410
11 San Francisco, CA 94104
12 Tel.: (628) 231-2500
13 Fax: (628) 231-2929

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Sacramento Suburban Water District*

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
16 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
17 **SACRAMENTO DIVISION**

18 SACRAMENTO SUBURBAN WATER
19 DISTRICT,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 THE 3M COMPANY (F/K/A MINNESOTA
23 MINING AND MANUFACTURING CO.),
24 E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND
25 COMPANY, THE CHEMOURS COMPANY,
26 and DOES 1 through 10,

27 Defendants.

28 Case No. 2:22-cv-01005-CKD

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO
RESPOND TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS**

Motions to dismiss served: August 15, 2022
Current response date: August 29, 2022
New response date: September 1, 2022

Presiding Judge: Hon. Carolyn K. Delaney

1 Plaintiff Sacramento Suburban Water District (“Plaintiff”), on the one hand, and
2 Defendants 3M Company, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, and The Chemours Company
3 (“Defendants”), on the other hand, hereby stipulate to and request an order setting a briefing
4 schedule on Defendants’ pending motions to dismiss.

5 *Whereas*, Defendants filed two motions to dismiss the complaint in this action on August
6 15, 2022 (ECF Nos. 8, 9 (“Motions”));

7 *Whereas*, pursuant to Local Rule 230(c), Plaintiff’s oppositions to the Motions are due on
8 August 29, 2022;

9 *Whereas*, a hearing is set on the Motions for October 5, 2022;

10 *Whereas*, Plaintiff will benefit from a short, three-day extension of the deadline to prepare
11 its oppositions to the Motions;

12 *Whereas*, the Parties wish to set a date certain deadline for Defendants’ replies in support
13 of the Motions;

14 *Whereas*, the Parties do not seek a continuance of the scheduled hearing on the Motions;

15 *Whereas*, the Parties have conferred in writing and all Parties consent to the proposed
16 briefing schedule set forth below;

17 *Whereas*, the Parties further agree the instant Stipulation is without prejudice to
18 Defendants’ challenge to the exercise of personal jurisdiction over Defendants in this Court.

19 *Now, Therefore, It is Stipulated and Agreed that* Plaintiff’s opposition to Defendants’
20 Motions shall be due on September 1, 2022; and Defendants’ replies in support the Motions shall
21 be due on September 15, 2022.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: August 18, 2022



24
25 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27
28

8.sswd.36stip

1 Stipulated to:

2 Dated: August 17, 2022

3 By: /s/ Timothy R. Sloane
4 VICTOR M. SHER (SBN 96197)
5 MATTHEW K. EDLING (SBN 250940)
6 STEPHANIE D. BIEHL (SBN 306777)
7 TIMOTHY R. SLOANE (SBN 292864)
8 **SHER EDLING LLP**
9 100 Montgomery St. Ste. 1410
10 San Francisco, CA 94104
11 Tel.: (628) 231-2500
12 vic@sheredling.com
13 matt@sheredling.com
14 stephanie@sheredling.com
15 tim@sheredling.com

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
17 *Sacramento Suburban Water District*

18 Dated: August 17, 2022

19 By: /s/ Adam M. Rapp (as authorized on 08/17/22)
20 ANDREW T. MORTL (SBN 177876)
21 ADAM M. RAPP (SBN 280824)
22 **GLYNN, FINLEY, MORTL, HANLON &**
23 **FRIEDENBERG, LLP**
24 One Walnut Creek Center
25 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500
26 Walnut Creek, CA 94596
27 Tel.: (925) 210-2800
28 amortl@glynnfinley.com
arapp@glynnfinley.com

29 *Attorneys for Defendants*
30 *E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and*
31 *The Chemours Company*

32 Dated: August 17, 2022

33 **MAYER BROWN LLP**

34 By: /s/ J. Tom Boer (as authorized on 08/17/22)
35 J. Tom Boer, (SBN 199563)
36 **HOGAN LOVELLS LLP**
37 3 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1500
38 San Francisco, CA 94111
39 Tel.: (415) 374-2336
40 tom.boer@hoganlovells.com

1 Dan Queen (SBN 292275)
2 **MAYER BROWN LLP**
3 350 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor
4 Los Angeles, CA 90071
5 Tel.: (213) 229-9500
6 DQueen@mayerbrown.com

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Attorneys for Defendant 3M Company